A novel C–C bond formation by Baylis–Hillman type reaction mediated by SmI₂: an effective approach to α -hydroxyalkylacrylamide synthesis

So Won Youn, Heui Sul Park and Yong Hae Kim*

Center for Molecular Design & Synthesis and Department of Chemistry, Korea Advanced Institute of Science and Technology, 373-1, Kusong Dong, Yusong Gu, Taejon, 305-701, Korea. E-mail: kimyh@sorak.kaist.ac.kr

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 15th August 2000, Accepted 5th September 2000 First published as an Advance Article on the web 28th September 2000

α-Bromoacrylamides (1) reacted with aldehydes or ketones, in the presence of SmI₂ at -78 °C in THF, within 5 min to produce Baylis–Hillman type reaction products (2) through an anionic process using vinylsamarium Grignard species in good yields (52–89%).

The construction of C–C bonds has been one of the most fundamental reactions in organic synthesis. The Baylis–Hillman reaction¹ produces multifunctional molecules that can be used for the synthesis of natural and unnatural products² through C–C bond formation between the α -position of activated alkene and carbon electrophiles having an electron-deficient sp² carbon atom under a basic catalyst, in particular a tertiary amine. But the reaction suffers from being inconveniently slow.¹ Furthermore, under normal circumstances acrylamides, crotonic derivatives, and ketones are inert substrates for the Baylis–Hillman reaction.^{1,3} Therefore a number of attempts^{2g,4,5} have been made to provide the corresponding adduct including the use of microwave irradiation and high pressure.⁶

Samarium diiodide has become a useful reagent for various organic reactions.⁷ Especially, SmI₂ can be used to generate radicals and to reduce alkyl radicals to alkylsamarium reagents that can be trapped by a variety of electrophiles to form a new C–C bond.^{7–9} However, there have been arguments that in general, vinyl- and aryl radicals do not usually undergo further reduction to vinyl- or arylsamarium reagents.⁹ We report here the first example of reactions *via* vinylsamarium species.

It has been found that α -bromoacrylamides (1) reacted with a variety of aldehydes or ketones in the presence of SmI₂ to give α -hydroxyalkylacrylamides (Baylis–Hillman adducts, **2**) within 5 min at -78 °C in THF in good yields (Scheme 1).

The reaction works best when 1 eq. of aldehyde and 3 eq. of SmI_2 are used in THF (run 2–5, Table 1). The crotonic derivative gave only the (*Z*)-isomer which was readily confirmed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy^{5a,b,d,f} and a variety of aldehydes and enolizable ketones also proceeded successfully in good yields. The results obtained are summarized in Table 1. Benzaldehyde and α , β -unsaturated carbonyl compounds are reduced to the corresponding alcohols without undergoing Baylis–Hillman type reaction: reduction of carbonyl groups must be faster than Baylis–Hillman type reaction.





Table 1 The reactions of acrylamides with various aldehydes and ketones^a



^{*a*} All reactions were performed with SmI₂ (3 eq.) and aldehyde or ketone (1 eq.) in THF at -78 °C, unless otherwise noted. ^{*b*} Isolated Yields. ^{*c*} Performed with SmI₂ (1 eq.). ^{*d*} Performed with SmI₂ (2 eq.). ^{*e*} Performed with SmI₂ (2.5 eq.).

Here a question is raised as to whether the reaction proceeds *via* anionic or radical species. Treatment of **1a** with SmI_2 (3 eq.)–CD₃OD (1 eq.) in THF afforded **3a** (67%, 80% deuterated product) as the main product as shown in eqn. 1. The amount of



deuterium incorporation was determined by ¹H NMR and mass spectroscopy. On the other hand, when **1a** was treated with SmI₂ (1 eq.) and THF-d₈ as a solvent, no deuterated acrylamide was detected, whereas α -bromoacrylamides acted as an electrophile to form **4a** (eqn. 2).^{7a,10} If the vinyl radical species (**A**) exists for long time it should abstract one D from THF-d₈. If the reaction undergoes samarium Grignard type reaction, it should require more than 2 eq. of SmI₂ to consume the starting acrylamide.



Judging from the three observations, an anion species (B) appears to be involved in the reaction (Fig. 1). The reaction might proceed via an allenoate intermediate (C).^{5,11} Ethyl α bromoacrylate or 2-bromocyclohex-2-en-1-one did not give the desired products. A phenyl group in the amide seems to enable the formation of the vinylsamarium intermediate (**B**). The α carbon of the acrylamide bearing phenyl group is more electrondeficient than other moieties bearing alkyl or hydrogen. Therefore, the vinyl radical can undergo further reduction to vinylsamarium reagent **B** by a further mole of SmI_2 . These results imply that the reduction of the vinyl radical should be faster than hydrogen abstraction from THF. To our knowledge, this is the first example of the generation of the vinyl samarium intermediate by means of the reduction of bromovinyl compounds! It is noteworthy that the reaction with enolizable ketones also affords the Baylis-Hillman products.

In summary, it has been demonstrated that the reaction of α bromoacrylamides with aldehydes or ketones in the presence of SmI₂ can provide Baylis–Hillman adducts through an anionic process, solving several problems of Baylis-Hillman reaction. Baylis-Hillman reactions require long reaction times and a



Fig. 1 Possible mechanism.

tertiary amine as a catalyst and its scope is limited to aldehydes as acrylamides, crotonic derivatives and ketones are not reactive. However, a Baylis-Hillman type reaction mediated by SmI₂ is available for both aldehvdes and ketones under mild reaction conditions and with short reaction times.

This work was partially supported by graduate School of Molecular Science of MOE.

Notes and references

- 1 Reviews: (a) D. Basavaiah, P. D. Rao and R. S. Hyma, Tetrahedron, 1996, 52, 8001; (b) S. E. Drewes and G. H. P. Roos, Tetrahedron, 1988, 44, 4653; (c) E. Ciganek, in Organic Reactions, ed. L. A. Paquette, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1997, vol. 51, pp. 201 and references cited therein
- 2 (a) J. M. Brown, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1987, 26, 190; (b) A. H. Hoveyda, D. A. Evans and G. C. Fu, Chem. Rev., 1993, 93, 1307; (c) T. Jenn and D. Heissler, Synlett, 1995, 607; (d) R. Annunziata, M. Benaglia, M. Cinquini, F. Cozzi and L. Raimond, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 4697; (e) P. Perlmutter and M. Tabone, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 6515; (f) C. M. Marson, J. H. Pink and C. Smith, Tetrahedron Lett., 1995, 36, 8107; (g) Y. Génisson, C. Massardier, I. Gantier-Luneau and A. E. Greene, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1996, 2869; (h) D. Basavaiah, M. Bakthadoss and S. Pandiaraju, Chem. Commun., 1998, 1639
- 3 M. L. Bode and P. T. Kaye, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1, 1993, 1809.
- 4 (a) M. Brand, S. E. Drewes, N. D. Emslie and A. A. Khan, Synth. Commun., 1991, 21, 727; (b) J. Auge, N. Lubin and A. Lubineau, Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 7947; (c) V. K. Aggarwal, G. J. Tarver and R. McCague, Chem. Commun., 1996, 2713; (d) S. Rafel and J. W. Leahy, J. Org. Chem., 1997, 62, 1521; (e) T. Kataoka, T. Iwama and S. Tsujiyama, Chem. Commun., 1998, 197; (f) V. K. Aggarwal, A. Mereu, G. J. Tarver and R. McCague, J. Org. Chem., 1998, 63, 7183.
- 5 For methods using Al, Cu, and others: (a) Y. Sato and S. Takeuchi, Synthesis, 1983, 734; (b) J. P. Marino and R. J. Linderman, J. Org. Chem., 1983, 48, 4621; (c) T. Tsuda, T. Yoshida and T. Saegusa, J. Org. Chem., 1988, 53, 1037; (d) G. Li, H.-X. Wei and S. Willis, Tetrahedron Lett., 1998, 39, 4607; (e) H.-X. Wei, S. Willis and G. Li, Tetrahedron Lett., 1998, 39, 8203; (f) P. V. Ramachandran, M. V. R. Reddy, M. T. Rudd and J. R. De Alaniz, Tetrahedron Lett., 1998, 39, 8791; (g) G. Li, H.-X. Wei, B. R. Whittlesey and N. N. Batrice, J. Org. Chem., 1999, 64, 1061; (h) H.-X. Wei, J. D. Hook and K. A. Fitzgerald, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry, 1999, 10, 661.
- 6 (a) J. S. Hill and N. S. Isaacs, Tetrahedron Lett., 1986, 27, 5007; (b) J. S. Hill and N. S. Isaacs, J. Chem. Res. (S), 1988, 330; (c) G. H. P. Roos and P. Rampersadh, Synth. Commun., 1993, 23, 1261; (d) M. K. Kunda, S. B. Mukherjee, N. Balu, R. Padmakumar and S. V. Bhat, Synlett, 1994, 444.
- 7 Recent reviews: (a) G. A. Molander, Chem. Rev., 1992, 92, 29; (b) D. P. Curran, T. L. Fevig, C. P. Jasperse and M. J. Totleben, Synlett, 1992, 943; (c) G. A. Molander, in Organic Reactions, ed. L. A. Paquette, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1994, vol. 46, pp. 211; (d) G. A. Molander and C. R. Harris, *Chem. Rev.*, 1996, **96**, 307; (e) T. Skrydstrup, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1997, **36**, 345; (f) G. A. Molander and C. R. Harris, Tetrahedron, 1998, 54, 3321; (g) A. Krief and A.-M. Laval, Chem. Rev., 1999, 99, 745 and references cited therein.
- 8 (a) G. A. Molander and C. Kenny, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1989, 111, 8236; (b) G. A. Hasegawa and D. P. Curran, Tetrahedron Lett., 1993, 34, 1717.
- 9 (a) M. Matsukawa, J. Inagana and M. Yamaguchi, Tetrahedron Lett., 1987, 28, 5877; (b) J. Inagana, M. Ishikawa and M. Yamaguchi, Chem. Lett., 1987, 1485; (c) T. L. Fevig, R. L. Elliott and D. P. Curran, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1988, 110, 5064; (d) D. P. Curran and B. Yoo, Tetrahedron Lett., 1992, 33, 6931; (e) D. P. Curran and M. J. Totleben, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1992, 114, 6050; (f) M. Kunishima, K. Hioki, S. Tani and A. Kato, Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 7253; (g) L. Capella and P. C. Montevecchi, Tetrahedron Lett., 1994, 35, 8445; (h) L. Capella, P. C. Montevecchi and M. L. Navacchia, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 7424; (i) X. H. Du and R. W. Armstrong, Tetrahedron Lett., 1998, 39, 2281.
- 10 Organosamarium intermediates appear to be initiated but unstable in solution.7 In the absence of electrophiles such as aldehydes or ketones, the organosamarium species reacted with the substrate itself to form 4a together with the dehalogenated byproduct 3a'.
- 11 (a) J. P. Marino and R. J. Linderman, J. Org. Chem., 1981, 46, 3696; (b) T. Tsuda, T. Yoshida, T. Kawamoto and T. Saegusa, J. Org. Chem., 1987, 52, 1624.